

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/934,250

Applicant(s)

DANG ET AL.

Examiner

San-ming Hui

Art Unit

1617

All Participants:

(1) San-ming Hui.

(2) Mr. Jacob Wasserman, attorney of record.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 September 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Wasserman informed the examiner that no further communication or appeal brief was filed in response to the outstanding office action mailed August 18, 2006

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)